

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/676,036	10/02/2003	Denis Thiot	003-088	6916
36844 75	590 01/09/2006		EXAM	INER
CERMAK & KENEALY LLP			PHAN, THIEM D	
515 E. BRADDOCK RD ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3729	

DATE MAILED: 01/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/676,036	THIOT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tim Phan	3729			
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUN CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ation. y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	n <u>09 November 2005</u> .				
,—	,—				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice u	inder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the applied 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 11-22 and 24 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 23,25 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction.	vithdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to to the drawing(s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date	948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Application/Control Number: 10/676,036

Page 2

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed on 11/09/05 has been fully considered and made of record.

2. The rejection of claims 11-22 and 24 which were rejected in Office Action mailed on

5/10/05 under 35 USC 103; these claims are rejected under 35 USC 103 herein for substantially

the same reasons as provided in the previous Office Action which is incorporated herein and

made a part hereof.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 23 and 25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicants' arguments filed 11/09/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the following reasons:

Applicants cite *inter alia* that Hiroshi et al do not teach nor suggest the step of "*inserting a ripple string into the slot*." (Remarks, page 13/14, 2nd paragraph; Claim 1 3rd line). The examiner's position, as stated in the previous action, continues to be that since Hiroshi et al do, in deed, teach the insertion of ripple spring (Fig. 8, 9) into the slot.

Applicants' assertions that the insertion of the elastic member of Hiroshi et al would result in no space remaining between the slot wall and the coil for the insertion of the nozzle referred by Lonseth et al (Remarks, page 13/14, 2nd paragraph) were traversed, since the examiner urges that Hiroshi et al teach the insertion of spring sheets (Fig. 8, 9) into the bar slot (Fig. 8, 3) with an embodiment as motivation to fill the slot with metal and resin materials (Fig. 4,14) for strength and heat conductivity. Therefore, it would be obvious to apply the resin by the nozzle, as taught by Lonseth et al, to the slot where the metallic springs are sparsely inserted, in order to create a buffer of metallic strength and heat conductivity between the bar and the core. In response to applicants' argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references (Remarks, page 13/14, 3rd paragraph), the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the AAPA teaches a process of mounting armature bars in the stator core, while Hiroshi et al and Lonseth et al teach a process of filling the slot between the bars and the motor core. All three references are of analogous art, therefore their

Application/Control Number: 10/676,036 Page 4

Art Unit: 3729

obviousness rejection are proper in the combination.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicants'

disclosure.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicants are reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed

until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened

statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee

pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In

no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the

mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Tim Phan whose telephone number is 571-272-4568. The

examiner can normally be reached on M - F, 9AM - 5PM.

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 571-272-4690. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

Tim Phan Examiner Art Unit 3729

December 30, 2005